	ıl .	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		CONTRACT COLUMN
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	AUXIER FINANCIAL GROUP LLC,	
10		No. C10-2070 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE A SECOND
12	v.	SURREPLY
13	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; Bank of America N.A. as Successor by Merger to	
14	LASALLE BANK N.A. as TRUSTEE for	
15	Washington Mutual Mortgage Pass-through Certificates WaMu Series 2007-OA4 Trust, and	
16	for Washington Mutual Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates MWALT Series 2007 OC-1 Trust,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Pursuant to Local Rule 7(g)(1), Defendants file this Notice of Intent to File a Second	
19	Surreply. The second surreply will address issues raised by Plaintiff's untimely filings of its	
20		
21	Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and supporting declarations thereto.	
22	Defendants filed their motion for Summary Judgment on December 8, 2012, noting it	
23	for consideration on December 30 [Dkt. 22]. The deadline for Plaintiff to file its opposition	
24	brief was Tuesday, December 27 (because Monday, December 26 was a holiday). Local Rule	
25	7(d)(3). Plaintiffs did not file any opposition brief on that date, so at about 1:00 a.m. on the	
	morning of December 28, Defendants filed their re	eply brief [Dkt. 30]. Later that day, Plaintiff

filed a Declaration of Josh Auxier, which was unsigned [Dkt. 31]. On December 30, the

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE SECOND SURREPLY (C10-2070 MJP) — 1 DWT 18922186v1 0036234-000065

26

27

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

Case 2:10-cv-02070-MJP Document 40 Filed 01/26/12 Page 2 of 3

deadline for Defendants to file a reply brief, Defendants filed a timely surreply that addressed the "facts" and arguments set forth in Mr. Auxier's declaration [Dkt. 34]. Later, on the day of December 30, Plaintiff's counsel filed a declaration which purported to be "In Support of Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment" [Dkt. 33], but Plaintiff had not yet filed an opposition brief.

Earlier today, exactly one month after the deadline for Plaintiff to oppose Defendants' summary judgment motion, Plaintiff filed an opposition brief along with supporting

summary judgment motion, Plaintiff filed an opposition brief along with supporting documentation. Plaintiff's counsel claims that a combination of moving offices, being a slow typer, and "significant snow and wind" prevented Plaintiff from filing these documents at any time during the last month, even though Plaintiff's counsel began work on them on December 19, 2011. *See* Mueller Decl., [Dkt. 38] ¶¶ 3-5, 12-14.

DATED this 26th day of January, 2012.

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Attorneys for Chase and Bank of America

By /s/ Matthew Sullivan
Fred B. Burnside, WSBA #32491
Matthew Sullivan, WSBA #40873
E-mail: fredburnside@dwt.com
E-mail: matthewsullivan@dwt.com

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE SECOND SURREPLY (C10-2070 MJP) — 2 DWT 18922186v1 0036234-000065

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP LAW OFFICES Suite 2200 1201 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-3045 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax

1 2

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE SECOND SURREPLY (C10-2070 MJP) — 3 DWT 18922186v1 0036234-000065

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 26, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

• Edward L. Mueller elm@muellerlawfirm.net

DATED this 26th day of January, 2012.

Sheila Rowden